



San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments

3452 East Foothill Blvd., Suite 910, Pasadena, California 91107-3970 Phone: (626) 564-9702 FAX: (626) 564-1116 E-Mail SGV@sgvcog.org

Transportation Committee Meeting Minutes

Date: November 18th, 2010

Time: 4:00 pm

Location: SCE CTAC (6090 N. Irwindale Ave., Irwindale CA)

1.0 Call to Order

J. Fasana called the meeting to order at 4:40 pm.

1.1 Pledge of Allegiance

1.2 Roll Call / Introductions

Members Present

Alhambra	B. Messina
Claremont	S. Pedroza
Covina	A. Gonzalez
Duarte	J. Fasana
Glendora	G. Murabito
Industry	T. Spohn
LA County District 5	M. Cano, G. Sund
South El Monte	J. Gonzales
Walnut	T. King

Members Absent

Diamond Bar
La Canada Flintridge
La Verne
Montebello
Rosemead
San Gabriel
South Pasadena

SGVCOG Staff:

N. Conway, Executive Director
M. Creter, Staff

Guests:

S. Amiri, MTA
P. Hubler, ACE
K. McDonald, Foothill Transit
B. Spencer, Urban Economics
R. Calix, MTA
H. Hills, MTA
B. McAllester, MTA
M. Salehinik, Caltrans
J. Martinez, CHSRA
A. Nam, SCAG
S. Wiggins, MTA
R. Blair, MTA

2.0 Public Comment

3.0 Consent Calendar

3.1 October 21st, 2010 Transportation Committee Meeting Minutes

3.2 Transit Operators

3.2.1 Foothill Transit

3.2.2 Montebello Bus Lines

3.2.3 Metro San Gabriel Valley Service Sector

3.3 Correspondence/Media Publications

There was a motion to approve the consent calendar (M. Cano/A Gonzalez/ Unanimous).

4.0 Presentation on MTA/SGVCOG Congestion Mitigation Fee Pilot Study

The Executive Director provide an introduction to this item. He stated that MTA and the SGVCOG have been engaged in an ongoing to effort to conduct a pilot study that assesses the feasibility of implementing a congestion mitigation fee on new development. This would replace the existing credits/debits program and allow cities to comply with the Congestion Management Program.

Staff from MTA provided an overview of the work completed to date, including the city-level project lists that have been developed. Staff also indicated that the next step would be conduct a nexus study between these projects and the anticipated growth in the Valley to determine if such a fee could be defensible. Committee members asked if this program would be affected by the passage of Proposition 26, and MTA staff indicated that it would be exempt.

There was a motion to recommend to Governing Board that MTA initiate the Nexus Analysis Phase of the SGV Congestion Fee Pilot Study(M/S/C: G. Murabito / B. Messina / Unanimous)

5.0 Overview of San Gabriel Valley Highway Capital Improvement Projects

Staff from Caltrans provided a brief overview of the highway projects that are currently underway, including the carpool lane completions.

6.0 Update on SGVCOG High-Speed Rail Working Group

Staff from CHSRA provided a brief overview of the November High Speed Rail Working Group meeting and the overall timeline for the CHSRA to take action with respect to the LA-San Diego alignment. Committee members requested that CHSRA staff provide a complete listing of the criteria that will be used in selecting a route.

7.0 Update on SCAG's Goods Movement Program

Staff from SCAG provided an overview on the ongoing effort to implement recommendations from the Multi-County Goods Movement Action Plan. They also provided an update on efforts to develop consensus regarding an east-west truck route. It is the COG's position that all east-west routes be studied further.

8.0 Partnering Agency Reports

8.1 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro)

S. Wiggins (MTA) provided an update on the recently completed screening study to identify additional highways that could be part of a region-wide congestion pricing program. Further work on this would not begin until the completion of the demonstration project, which will implement toll lanes along the I-10 and the I-110.

8.1.1 Update on Regional Connector Project

Staff from MTA reported on this item. They provided an overview of the purpose of this project, which is to connect the Gold Line, Red Line, and Blue Line in downtown Los Angeles. This will shorten travel times. Based on the initial ridership projections that have been completed, this project has a very high cost effectiveness rating, which would make it competitive for Federal funding.

8.1.2 710 Gap Closure (Tunnel) Update

The Chair indicated that there may be a motion from Board Member Najarian regarding the 710 Gap Closure project.

8.2 Alameda Corridor-East Construction Authority

T. Spohn provided a brief report on this item and referred committee members to the written report.

8.3 Gold Line Foothill Extension Status Report

S. Pedroza reported on this item and stated that Metro is bonding to providing initial funding for this project. This should allow the project to proceed on or ahead of schedule.

9.0 New Business

10.0 Next Meeting

11.0 Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 p.m.